

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignus 22313-1456 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/845,815	04/30/2001	Oleg Serebrennikov	3479/0J156	2310	
7278	7590 06/20/2003				
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876		

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No. Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/845,815	SEREBRENNIKOV, OLEG		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jamara A. Franklin	2876		
The I	MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address -		
A SHORTEN THE MAILIN - Extensions of t after SIX (6) M - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply - Any reply recei	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statured by the Office later than three months after the mailing erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.	
_	onsive to communication(s) filed on				
		his action is non-final.			
3) Since	this application is in condition for allow d in accordance with the practice under	vance except for formal ma		ts is	
Disposition of (Claims	,			
4) Claim((s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	n.			
4a) Of	the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 15-19</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>6-14</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(Application Page	s) are subject to restriction and/ pers	or election requirement.			
9) The spe	ecification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
	awing(s) filed on <u>30 April 2001</u> is/are: a		d to by the Examiner.		
	cant may not request that any objection to t	•	•		
11) The pro	pposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐	disapproved by the Examiner.		
If app	roved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.			
12) The oat	th or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknow	wledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)∐ All	b)	•			
1.	Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.			
	Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No.		
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Boutland detailed Office action for a lis	ority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage		
		·		-ti\	
	ledgment is made of a claim for domes e translation of the foreign language pr			auon)	
	ledgment is made of a claim for domes				
Attachment(s)					
2) 🔲 Notice of Draft	erences Cited (PTO-892) tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-·	

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

in claim 2, line 2, substitute "can be" with --is--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Showghi et al. (US 6,473,739) (hereinafter referred to as 'Showghi') in view of McMahon et al. (US 5,789,732) (hereinafter referred to as 'McHanon').

Showghi teaches a remote ordering system wherein a customer is provided with his own wireless communication device 22, 24, 26. Using the communication device 22, 24, 26 or a rental wireless remote ordering device 10, a customer may place an order by choosing from

Art Unit: 2876

menus as displayed on the screen of the wireless communication device 22, 24, 26 or device 10. The menus are programmed into the device 10 or transmittable to the devices 22, 24, 26. The customer's seating location is associated with an identification number of the device 10 or a serial number on the customer's ticket (col. 5, lines 17-57). After the order is filled, a delivery person delivers the order to the patron's seat (col. 7, lines 44-51).

Page 3

Showghi lacks the teaching of outputting a bar code corresponding to the description on the display screen of the wireless device and scanning the bar code at a bar code reader.

McHanon teaches a portable data module having an LCD display 30 for displaying bar codes to be read by a bar code scanner. The bar codes are associated with the stored data which is displayed on a LCD display 20. Scroll buttons 40 allows a user to scroll through the stored information (col. 1, line 65-col. 2, line 10).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that the advantages of outputting a bar code onto the display to be read by a bar code scanner include the notion that the description of the items are then presented and transmitted in a uniform, binary manner that made aid in the prompt and accurate delivery of the good or service to the customer. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings with the aforementioned teachings of McHanon to facilitate the delivery of the good or service.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2876

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to teach, or fairly suggest either alone or in combination thereof, an additional step of dynamically updating one of said wireless device and said remote database to add a bar code, said updating step utilizing one of the wireless device and a second bar code reader as an input device, wherein the second bar code reader is used to scan the bar code printed on a product. The examiner could find no motivation to combine a reference with such a teaching with the aforementioned rejection to arrive at the claimed invention.

Also, the limitations disclosing the method for using a dynamically displayed bar code and a bar code reader to obtain one of a good and service, comprising the additional step of querying a database of goods and services available at a particular commercial outlet for a match to the description input at the wireless device; and, in the absence of a match, displaying a message on the wireless device indicating that one of the good or service in not available at the particular commercial outlet. The Showghi reference teaches away from the aforementioned limitations since the wireless device of Showghi only allows a user to choose from a menu of items available for purchase.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hall et al. (US 6,026,375) teach a method and apparatus for processing orders from customers in a mobile environment.

Art Unit: 2876

Perttunen et al. (US 5,939,699) teach a bar code display apparatus.

Suwa et al. (JP 2003008743A) teach a method of providing service, and relay device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is 703-305-0128. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jamara A. Franklin Examiner Art Unit 2876

JAF June 15, 2003

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

Page 5